

## Methodological Considerations Regarding the Combined Use of Erectile Dysfunction and Frontal QRS-T Angle for Predicting Coronary Artery Disease Severity

To the Editor,

I read with great interest the article by Özmen Yıldız and Özbilen,<sup>1</sup> which proposes combining erectile dysfunction (ED) and frontal QRS-T angle (fQRSTa) as a composite predictor of coronary artery disease severity. The hypothesis is thought-provoking; however, several methodological concerns may affect the interpretation of the findings.

First, the fQRSTa cutoff of 52.5° was derived via receiver operating characteristic analysis from the same cohort subsequently used for group stratification and regression modeling. This circular approach can inflate the threshold's apparent discriminative performance and bias group comparisons. Without validation in an independent cohort or through internal resampling methods such as bootstrapping, the generalizability of this cutoff remains uncertain.

Second, the regression models have notable gaps in covariate adjustment. Fasting glucose differed significantly between groups ( $P < .001$ , Table 3) yet was excluded from the models; only hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) was entered. Since HbA1c may underestimate glycemic burden when hemoglobin is low—as observed in group 4 (median 13.6 g/dL)<sup>2</sup>—this omission is clinically relevant. Moreover, the authors cite “unmeasured factors such as... electrolyte disturbances” among study limitations, yet serum calcium was measured, showed significant between-group differences ( $P = .009$ ), and was nonetheless excluded from the models. This internal contradiction undermines the claim of “full adjustment.” Additionally, variance inflation factors were not reported, leaving multicollinearity—particularly between diabetes status and HbA1c (diabetes  $\beta = 0.869$ ,  $P = .881$ )—unquantified.

Third, diabetic autonomic neuropathy (DAN) represents a critical unmeasured confounder. Both ED and ventricular repolarization heterogeneity reflected by fQRSTa are recognized manifestations of autonomic dysfunction.<sup>3</sup> With approximately 34% of the cohort having diabetes mellitus and group 4 showing the highest prevalence (40.7%), DAN could account for the observed ED–fQRSTa association without requiring a synergistic mechanism. Without autonomic function testing or subgroup analysis stratifying by diabetes status, this alternative explanation cannot be excluded.

Fourth, dichotomizing IIEF-5 scores and fQRSTa—2 continuous variables—into binary categories results in information loss and may obscure dose–response relationships.<sup>4</sup> The wide confidence interval of the interaction term for the Gensini score ( $\beta = 17.233$ , 95% CI: 1.906–32.560) illustrates the imprecision of this estimate and raises concerns about whether sufficient statistical power existed to detect interaction effects in 236 patients.

Finally, the term “synergistic” warrants caution. A significant interaction term indicates departure from additivity on a given scale but does not establish

### LETTER TO THE EDITOR

**Burak Oymak** 

Department of Physiology, University of Health Sciences, Prof. Dr. Cemil Taşcıoğlu City Hospital, İstanbul, Türkiye

**Corresponding author:**

Burak Oymak  
✉ drburakoymak@gmail.com

**Available Online Date:** May 11, 2026

**Cite this article as:** Oymak B. Methodological considerations regarding the combined use of erectile dysfunction and frontal QRS-T angle for predicting coronary artery disease severity. *Anatol J Cardiol.* 2026;XX(X):1-2.

DOI: 10.14744/AnatolJCardiol.2026.6364



biological synergy.<sup>5</sup> Given that ED and fQRSTa share pathophysiological underpinnings—particularly through the autonomic pathway discussed above—the observed interaction may reflect confounding by a common upstream process rather than a true multiplicative biological effect. Future multicenter studies incorporating external threshold validation, comprehensive autonomic assessments, and continuous variable modeling would substantially strengthen the evidence for this promising dual-marker approach.

---

**Declaration of Interests:** The author has no conflicts of interest to declare.

**Funding:** The author declare that this study received no financial support.

## REFERENCES

1. Özmen Yıldız P, Özbilen MH. The synergistic relationship between erectile dysfunction and frontal QRS-T angle in predicting coronary artery disease severity: a prospective observational study. *Anatol J Cardiol*. 2026;30(1):44-54. [\[CrossRef\]](#).
2. English E, Idris I, Smith G, Dhatariya K, Kilpatrick ES, John WG. The effect of anaemia and abnormalities of erythrocyte indices on HbA1c analysis: a systematic review. *Diabetologia*. 2015;58(7):1409-1421. [\[CrossRef\]](#).
3. Vinik AI, Maser RE, Mitchell BD, Freeman R. Diabetic autonomic neuropathy. *Diabetes Care*. 2003;26(5):1553-1579. [\[CrossRef\]](#).
4. Altman DG, Royston P. The cost of dichotomising continuous variables. *BMJ*. 2006;332(7549):1080. [\[CrossRef\]](#).
5. Knol MJ, VanderWeele TJ. Recommendations for presenting analyses of effect modification and interaction. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2012;41(2):514-520. [\[CrossRef\]](#).