THE ANATOLIAN JOURNAL OF CARDIOLOGY



Agreement Between Transthoracic Echocardiography and Computed Tomography Pulmonary Angiography for Detection of Right Ventricular Dysfunction in Pulmonary Embolism

ABSTRACT

Background: Right ventricular dysfunction (RVD) is the main determinant of mortality in patients with pulmonary embolism (PE). Thus, guidelines recommend the assessment of RVD with transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) or computed tomography pulmonary angiography (CTPA) among these patients. In this study, we investigated the agreement between TTE and CTPA for the detection of RVD.

Methods: This single-center retrospective study included patients who were diagnosed with CTPA and underwent TTE within the fir t 24 hours following the diagnosis.

Results: Two hundred fift \cdot eight patients met the inclusion criteria. In 71.3% (184) of them, CTPA and TTE agreed on both the presence and absence of RVD. There was a moderate agreement between the 2 tests (Cohen's kappa = 0.404, P < .001). The agreement between right ventricle dysfunction on TTE and the increased right ventricle/left ventricle (RV/LV) on CTPA was fair (Cohen's kappa = 0.388, P < .001). Three patients died due to PE, and another 5 patients required urgent reperfusion therapy. Overall, adverse outcomes occurred in 4% (8) of patients. The sensitivity of modalities in the detection of adverse outcomes was 100%. Transthoracic echocardiography was more specific compared to CTPA (43% vs. 28%). Statistically, flattening/bulging of the interventricular septum on TTE was signifi antly associated with adverse outcomes. No individual CTPA parameter was related to adverse outcomes.

Conclusion: Both CTPA and TTE are reliable imaging modalities in the detection of RVD. However, TTE is more specific, and this may help in the identification and appropriate management of patients at higher risk of decompensation. A combination of CTPA parameters rather than individual RV/LV ratios increases the sensitivity of CTPA.

Keywords: Pulmonary embolism, risk stratifi ation, prognosis, transthoracic echocardiography, computed tomography

INTRODUCTION

Right ventricular dysfunction (RVD) is the main determinant of mortality in pulmonary embolism (PE). Patients considered to be at low risk may have RVD, and early discharge of PE patients may cause increased mortality. Thus, current guidelines recommend assessment of the right ventricle (RV) to avoid erroneous discharge of patients with RVD and catastrophic consequences like post-discharge mortality.

Transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) is the standard method in the assessment of RV, which shows more parameters and real-time images of RV and enables the detection of intracardiac thrombus and/or RV hypokinesia.⁵⁻⁸ However, it is operator-dependent and not always available, especially during night shifts and emergencies.

Computed tomographic pulmonary angiography (CTPA) enables both diagnosis and risk stratification. Also, the extent of thrombi can be visualized with CTPA. It is widely available and can be reviewed by different clinicians, even from outside the hospital. However, CTPA gives static information about the RV. Intracardiac



Copyright@Author(s) - Available online at anatolicardiol.com.

Content of this journal is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial

4.0 International License.

ORIGINAL INVESTIGATION

Serhat Erol¹

Aslıhan Gürün Kaya¹

Fatma Arslan¹

Sümeyye Ayöz¹

Ayşegül Gürsoy Çoruh²

Melahat Kul²

Evren Özçınar³

Aydın Çiledağ¹

Zeynep Pınar Önen¹

Akın Kaya¹🕞

Özlem Özdemir Kumbasar¹

Stavros V. Konstantinides

¹Department of Chest Diseases, Ankara University Faculty of Medicine, Ankara, Türkiye

²Department of Radiology, Ankara University Faculty of Medicine, Ankara, Türkiye

³Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, Ankara University Faculty of Medicine, Ankara, Türkiye

⁴Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz Center for Thrombosis and Hemostasis (CTH), Mainz, Germany

Corresponding author:

Serhat Erol ☑ drserol@yahoo.com

Received: November 15, 2023 Accepted: April 24, 2024 Available Online Date: May 24, 2024

Cite this article as: Erol S, Gürün Kaya A, Arslan F, et al. Agreement between transthoracic echocardiography and computed tomography pulmonary angiography for detection of right ventricular dysfunction in pulmonary embolism. Anatol J Cardiol. 2024;28(8):393–398.

DOI:10.14744/AnatolJCardiol.2024.3562

thrombus and/or RV hypokinesia cannot be recognized by CTPA.

Right ventricle assessment is crucial for safe discharge. And if the assessment is done with CTPA, it must be at least as accurate and reliable as TTE. Though studies revealed the accuracy of CTPA in risk stratifi ation of PE, 9-11 we hypothesized that inferiorities of CTPA, such as the inability to detect RV hypokinesia or RV thrombus, may cause misclassifi ation of patients who need longer medical attention. Thus, we aimed to investigate the accuracy of CTPA in the identifi ation of RVD and compare it with TTE.

METHODS

In this single-center retrospective study, we reviewed the electronic medical files of patients who were diagnosed with PE between 2013 and 2022. Patients who were diagnosed with CTPA and underwent TTE within the fir t 24 hours following the diagnosis were enrolled.

Exclusion Criteria

- Transthoracic echocardiography after 24 hours of diagnosis or after thrombolytic therapy
- Patients known to have pulmonary hypertension or RV failure before PE
- Patients with recurrent PE (pulmonary embolism is developed after completion of anticoagulation) or breakthrough PE (pulmonary embolism developing while under anticoagulation)
- Patients diagnosed with CTPA acquisition phase incompatibility and movement artifacts, and/or those in whom measurement of heart chambers, evaluation of inferior vena cava (IVC) reflu, and interventricular septum (IVS) morphology was not possible.

Computed Tomographic Pulmonary Angiography Imaging Analysis and Determinants of Right Ventricle Dysfunction

All examinations were performed on 64-detector row (Toshiba Aquilion, Otawara, Japan) and 16-detector row (General Electric's Healthcare Bright Speed Delight, Milwaukee, USA; Siemens Somatom Sensation, Forchheim, Germany) computed tomography (CT) scanners. Computed tomographic pulmonary angiography evaluation of patients was performed by 2 radiologists (M.K. and A.G.Ç), each with more than 6 years of experience. Right ventricular and left ventricular measurements were done by evaluating the ventricle diameters in the standard axial view, measuring the maximal distance between the ventricular endocardium and the IVS, perpendicular to the long axis of the heart, and using the maximum dimensions for both ventricles which may be

HIGHLIGHTS

- Both transthoracic echocardiography and computed tomography pulmonary angiography are reliable methods in risk stratification of pulmonary embolism.
- Transthoracic echocardiography has a higher specificit . This may help to identify patients at high risk of decompensation.

found at different levels. Measuring RV/LV ratio ≥ 1 was considered as RV dysfunction. Interventricular septum morphology was defined as normal (convex to the RV), flat ened, or bent [convex to the left ventricle (LV)]. When contrast material was detected in the intrahepatic part of the IVC, contrast media reflux as recorded.

If at least one of the RV/LV ratios is ≥ 1 , IVS flat ening/bulging, or IVC contrast media reflux is present, it is considered as RVD.

Determinants of Right Ventricle Dysfunction on Transthoracic Echocardiography

Transthoracic echocardiography findings were defined as the enlarged RV, flat ened IVS, RV hypo/akinesia, pulmonary arterial systolic pressure \geq 40 mm Hg, or right heart thrombus.

Troponin $T \ge 0.3$ ng/mL and/or brain natriuretic peptide >150 pg/mL are considered high cardiac biomarkers.

Right ventricular function and dilatation were assessed according to the recommendations of the American Society of Echocardiography. 12,13 The recommended echocardiographic views for the evaluation of the right ventricular size and systolic function were the standard apical 4-chamber view and the apical 4-chamber view with a focus on the RV view. For interpreting the RV dilatation, loading measures reflect the systolic function. The cavity size of RV relative to LV and the shape of the IVS suggest RV dilatation. For evaluating the contractility of RV, such as hypokinetic, we use the measurement of change in the area of the cavity.

Patent foramen ovale (PFO) was assessed by subcostal view and parasternal short-axis view by Doppler echocardiogram.

Final risk stratifi ation of patients was done according to the current European Society of Cardiology (ESC) guidelines.¹ Echocardiography findings were used for risk stratifi ation. Some patients didn't have cardiac enzymes. Thus, patients are classified as intermediate if there was RVD on echocardiography. If echo findings were normal, patients were classified as n t applicable (NA).

Definition f Adverse Events

An adverse event was defined as death due to PE or the requirement of urgent reperfusion therapy. PE-related death is defined according to International Society on Thrombosisnand Haemostasis (ISTH) recommendations.¹⁴

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS 22.0 software (Chicago, III, USA). Demographic and clinical data are expressed as means with standard deviations for continuous variables and as frequencies with percentages for categorical variables. Sensitivity, specificit, and positive and negative predictive values for predicting adverse outcomes were assessed for CTPA or TTE-derived adverse outcomes. Interrater agreement between CTPA and TTE was determined using Cohen's kappa coefficie t statistic. The relationships between age, gender, having any comorbid disease, and findings of CTPA and TTE were assessed with binary logistic regression analyses. For the multiple analysis, the

possible factors identified with univariant analysis were further entered into the logistic regression analyses. Hosmer—Lemeshow goodness of fit statistics was used to assess model fi . The statistical signifi ance level was expressed as P < .001 for all tests.

Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study. This study was performed in line with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. The study was approved by Local Ethics Committee number: 2023000589-1 (2023/589).

RESULTS

There were 299 patients. Among them, 41 were excluded (15 due to a previous history of PE, 13 due to the possibility of previous pulmonary hypertension, and 13 due to poor CTPA technique). Of the study population, 258 patients (52.3% female) with a mean age of 62.5 ± 16.3 met the inclusion criteria. All patients were hospitalized in the fir t few days of treatment.

Among the 258 patients, there were 70 (27.1%) low risk, 13 (5%) intermediate risk, 78 (30.2%) intermediate low risk, 72 (27.9%) intermediate high risk, and 8 (3.1%) high risk. In 17 (6.6%) patients, risk stratifi ation was not applicable because eco findings were normal but patients didn't have cardiac enzyme results.

Right ventricular dysfunction was demonstrated in 65.9% (170) of CTPA and 55.8% (144) of TTE (P < .001). The main findings of CTPA and TTE are presented in Table 1. In 71.3% (n=184) of patients, CTPA and TTE agreed on the presence or absence of RVD. Among the remaining 74 patients, 24 (9.3%) had normal CTPA but RVD on TTE, and 50 (19.3%) had normal TTE but CTPA demonstrated RVD (Table 2). There was a weak agreement between the 2 tests (Cohen's kappa=0.404, P < .001).

As the RV/LV ratio is mostly used CT signs of right heart dysfunction in PE, we compared the TTE findings with the RV/LV ratio on CTPA. The agreement between RV dysfunction on TTE and increased RV/LV on CTPA was minimal (Cohen's

Table 1. Transthoracic Echocardiography and Computed Tomographic Pulmonary Angiography Findings

Transthoracic Echocardiography	n (%)
RV dilatation	75 (29.1)
Flattening/bulging of IVS	7 (2.7)
Hypokinesia	31 (12.0)
Intracardiac thrombus	4 (1.6)
PFO	10 (3.9)
Increased sPAP	136 (52.7)
Computed Tomography Pulmonary Angiography	n (%)
$RV/LV \ge 1$	142 (55)
Flattening/bulging of IVS	120 (46.5)
IVC reflux	96 (37.2)

IVC, inferior vena cava; IVS, interventricular septum; PFO, patent foramen ovale; RV, right ventricle; sPAP, systolic pulmonary arterial pressure.

Table 2. Agreement Between Transthoracic Echocardiography and Computed Tomographic Pulmonary Angiography to Detect Right Ventricle Dysfunction

		RV Dysfunction on CTPA			Kappa Coefficie t
		No	Yes	Agreement	and P
RV dysfunction	No	64	50	52.6%	κ = 0.404,
on TTE	Yes	24	120	83.3%	<.001

CTPA, computed tomography pulmonary angiography; RV, right ventricle: TTE, transthoracic echocardiography.

kappa = 0.388, P < .001). In 40 patients, TTE showed RVD, but the RV/LV ratio was below 1.0 on CTPA (Table 3).

Overall, 8 patients had high-risk PE at presentation; both TTE and CTPA showed RVD in these patients.

There were 31 patients with RV hypokinesia on TTE. Among them, 27 had RVD on CTPA. There were 4 patients with intracardiac thrombus on TTE, and all of them had RVD on CTPA.

There were 96 (37.2%) patients with reflux in the IVC, and among them, 68 (70.8%) had RVD on TTE. Among the 96 patients with reflux in the IVC, 45 (54.2%) had increased cardiac biomarkers.

There were 10 patients with PFO, and all of them had increased estimated systolic pulmonary artery pressure. Thus, PFO might be due to increased pressure. Among these 10 patients, CTPA detected RVD in 8.

A total of 17 patients died. Among them, 3 died due to PE. The fir t patient was at high risk at presentation. The second presented with intermediate—high-risk PE but progressed to high risk and died during catheter-directed treatment. The last patient was admitted with intermediate—low-risk PE but died due to sudden cardiac arrest. Both TTE and CTPA revealed RVD in these 3 patients. Another 5 patients initially at intermediate risk required urgent reperfusion therapy. Both TTE and CTPA revealed RVD in these 5 patients. As a result, in 8 (4%) patients, adverse outcomes occurred.

To determine the effect of TTE and CTPA parameters on adverse outcomes, logistic regression analysis was performed and showed a signifi ant association between the flat ening/bulging of IVS on TTE and adverse outcomes (Table 4). Both modalities had high negative predictive values. However, TTE was more specific than CT A (Table 5).

Table 3. Agreement Between Right Ventricle Dysfunction on Transthoracic Echocardiography and Right Ventricle/Left Ventricle > 1 on Computed Tomography Pulmonary Angiography

		,	.V > 1 TPA		Kappa Coefficie t
		No	Yes	Agreement	and P
RV Dysfunction	No	76	38	66.7%	$\kappa = 0.388$,
on TTE	Yes	40	104	72.2%	< 0.001

RV, right ventricle; TTE, transthoracic echocardiography; CTPA, computed tomography pulmonary angiography

Table 4. Binary Logistic Regression Analyses Between Composite Outcome and Findings Related to Right Ventricle Dysfunction

	Univariate Analy	rsis	Multiple Analysis		
	OR (95% CI)	P	OR (95% CI)	P	
Age	0.994 (0.953-1.037)	.798			
Gender (male)	1.864 (0.436-7.970)	.401			
Increased cardiac biomarker	1.104 (0.713-1.869)	.507			
RV dilatation on TTE	7.87 (1.551-39.931)	.013	1.683 (0.083-34.135)	.734	
Flattening/bulging of IVS (TTE)	36.9 (6.482-210.06)	<.001	60.651 (3.038-1210.717)	.007	
Hypokinesia	14.359 (3.243-63.575)	<.001	6.923 (0.880-54.479)	.066	
Intracardiac thrombus	11.762 (1.084-127.671)	.043	0.137 (0.001-14.406)	.403	
sPAP (mm Hg)	1.068 (1.023-1.115)	.003	1.070 (0.980-1.169)	.131	
Flattening/bulging of IVS (CTPA)	3.579 (0.709-18.076)	.123	0.992 (0.140-7.025)	.994	
IVC reflu	1.808 (0.358-9.140)	.474	4.842 (0.541-43.348)	.158	

CTPA, computed tomography pulmonary angiography; IVC, inferior vena cava; IVS, interventricular septum; OR, odds ratio; RV, right ventricle; sPAP, systolic pulmonary arterial pressure; TTE, transthoracic echocardiography.

Table 5. Performance of Computed Tomography Pulmonary Angiography and Transthoracic Echocardiography on Prediction of Adverse Outcomes

	Sensitivity	Specificit		Negative Predictive Value
RV dysfunction on TTE	100%	43%	93%	100%
RV dysfunction on CTPA	100%	28%	94%	100%

CTPA, computed tomography pulmonary angiography; TTE, transthoracic echocardiography.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we aimed to compare the accuracy of CTPA with TTE in the identification of RVD. We found that CTPA was more sensitive and less specific compared to TTE in the identification of RVD and adverse outcomes.

There are a few studies that compare the correlation between CTPA and TTE. In the prospective study by Dudzinski et al, 15 CT findings of RV strain were defined as RV/ LV \geq 0.9 or interventricular bowing. Two modalities agreed in 59% of patients (κ = 0.24). Computed tomography was more sensitive but less specific compared to TTE. Park et al¹⁶ compared the hypokinesia on TTE with RV/LV \geq 1, septal bowing, and embolus location on CTPA. The combination of CTPA parameters increased the specificity and sensitivity of CTPA. Wake et al¹⁷ found a moderate correlation between CTPAderived RV/LV ratio and RV size determined with TTE. The sensitivity of CTPA was higher. Right ventricle was enlarged in 39% of TTE, and 48.6% of patients had RV/LV >1 on CTPA. Contrary to these studies, Ammari et al¹⁸ found similar proportions of patients with RV/LV >1 and a statistically significant correlation between TTE and CTPA. Also, in our study, CTPA was more sensitive compared to TTE. Right ventricular dysfunction was detected in 65.9% (170) of CTPA and 55.8% (144) of TTE (P < .001). Also, in patients with increased cardiac biomarkers, CTPA detected more RVD compared to TTE (93.3% vs. 81.3%). The higher sensitivity of CTPA might be due to the timing of TTE. Patients received anticoagulation and oxygen supplementation, if necessary, before TTE, and during this time, cardiac functions might have been normalized.

In the present study, there was a fair correlation between the RV/LV ratio on CTPA and TTE findings (Cohen's kappa=0.388). In 40 patients, despite the RVD findings on TTE, the RV/LV ratio was below 1 on CTPA. But when the RV/LV ratio was combined with other CTPA parameters, flat ening/bulging of IVS, Vena Cava Inferior (VCI) reflux agreement with TTE, and the sensitivity of CTPA increased. This was also reported by Park et al. ¹³ The authors found that the combination of RV/LV ratio with septal bowing and embolus location resulted in sensitivity and specificit . These results show the importance of the holistic assessment of CTPA finding . A combination of CTPA parameters increases the sensitivity and specificity ompared to individual RV/LV ratios.

The main limitation of CTPA is its inability to detect intracardiac thrombus and RV hypokinesia. In our cohort, there was 1 patient with intracardiac thrombus on TTE, and even though CTPA did not recognize intracardiac thrombus, it detected RVD in all this patient. There were 25 patients with RV hypokinesia on TTE. Computed tomography pulmonary angiography showed RVD in 22 of these 25 (88%) patients. Although the remaining 3 patients with RV hypokinesia did not experience any adverse outcome, we think this is an important inferiority of CTPA in patients with a plan of early discharge.

In our study, adverse outcomes occurred in 8 (4%) patients. Despite the high number of RVD on TTE or CTPA, there was a relatively low rate of adverse outcomes. Also, in the previous studies, RVD was a common finding, but the rate of an adverse outcome was low.^{8,15,18-20} There are some possible other explanations. First, this may be due to the compensatory mechanism of the heart. Second, it might be due to an improved detection and effective treatment of patients with RVD. As Barco et al²¹ reported, pulmonary embolism-related mortality is decreasing in the European region, and one of the possible explanations is improved treatment. Third, as Hadad et al²² stated, maybe the increased RV/LV ratio is due to the patient's baseline conditions rather than a cardiac response to PE. High rates of RVD on CTPA or TTE may cause

the utilization of additional tests such as cardiac biomarkers and possibly longer hospitalization. On the other hand, these precautions might provide increased safety for patients and minimize the possibility of post-discharge mortality or early complications.

No individual CTPA parameter was related to adverse outcomes. Although univariate analysis showed a signifi ant association between RV hypokinesia and adverse outcomes, in multiple analysis, this association lost its signifi ance. Transthoracic echocardiography had a higher specificity compared to CTPA in our study. Statistically, TTE flat ening/bulging of IVS on TTE was signifi antly associated with adverse outcomes. Some previous studies also showed higher specificity of TTE. 13,14,17 This means the ability of TTE to detect patients at imminent risk of hemodynamical decompensation is higher compared to CTPA. Thus, TTE might be more accurate in the selection of appropriate management, such as reperfusion therapy or intensive care unit admission, especially in intermediate high-risk pulmonary embolism.

Reflux in the IVC is a sign of right-sided heart disease^{23,24} and has previously been shown as a predictor of mortality.²⁵⁻²⁷ Thus, we thought that reflux in the IVC might be a more specific parameter for the determination of RVD with CTPA. However, there was no significant association between IVC reflux and ad erse outcomes.

Study Limitations

There are several limitations of our study. First is the performance of TTE by different operators on duty. Second, some echocardiographic parameters such as tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE) were missing. Third, sPAP rather than the velocity of tricuspid regurgitation (TRV) was reported for assessment of RV pressure overload. Thus, we had to define a cutoff for sPAP. According to the Bernoulli equation ($4 \times TRV^2 + right$ atrial pressure), 40 mm Hg sPAP corresponds approximately to the TRV 2.8 m/s as recommended by ESC pulmonary hypertension guidelines. So, we accepted 40 mm Hg as a cutoff. However, we are aware that this cutoff is open to debate.

CONCLUSION

Both CTPA and TTE have high sensitivity in the detection of RVD. A combination of CTPA parameters rather than individual RV/LV ratios increases the reliability of CTPA. Transthoracic echocardiography is more specific, and this may help in the identification and appropriate management of patients at higher risk of decompensation.

Ethics Committee Approval: The study was approved by the Ankara University Ethics Committee No: 2023000589-1 (2023/589). This study was performed in line with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Informed Consent: Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Peer-review: Externally peer-reviewed.

Author Contributions: Concept – S.E., A.G.K, F.A., S.A., E.Ö.; Design – S.E., A.G.K., F.A., S.A., E.Ö.; Supervision – Z.P.Ö., A.C.Ç.

A.K., Ö.Ö.K., S.K.; Resources – S.E., A.G.K., F.A., S.A., E.Ö.; Materials – S.E., A.G.K, F.A., S.A., E.Ö. A.G.Ç., M.K.; Data Collection and/or Processing – S.E, A.G.K., F.A., S.A., E.Ö. A.G.C., M.K.; Analysis and/or Interpretation – S.E., A.G.K., F.A., S.A., E.O. A.G.Ç., M.K., Ö.Ö.K., Z.P.Ö., A.Ç., S.K.; Literature Search – S.E., A.G.K., F.A., S.A., E.Ö.; Writing – S.E., A.G.K, F.A., S.A., E.Ö., A.G.Ç., M.K., Ö.Ö.K., Z.P.Ö., A.Ç., S.K.; Critical Review – S.E., A.G.K., F.A., S.A., E.Ö. A.G.Ç., M.K., Ö.Ö.K., Z.P.Ö., A.Ç., S.K.; C., S.K.; S.K.; C., S.K.; C., S.K.; C., S.K.; C., S.K.; C., S.K.

Declaration of Interests: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Funding: The authors declare that this study received no financial support.

REFERENCES

- Konstantinides SV, Meyer G, Becattini C, et al. 2019 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of acute pulmonary embolism developed in collaboration with the European Respiratory Society (ERS). Eur Heart J. 2020;41(4):543–603. [CrossRef]
- 2. Otero R, Uresandi F, Jiménez D, et al. Home treatment in pulmonary embolism. *Thromb Res.* 2010;126(1):e1-e5. [CrossRef]
- Aujesky D, Stone RA, Kim S, Crick EJ, Fine MJ. Length of hospital stay and postdischarge mortality in patients with pulmonary embolism: a statewide perspective. Arch Intern Med. 2008;168(7):706-712. [CrossRef]
- Barco S, Mahmoudpour SH, Planquette B, Sanchez O, Konstantinides SV, Meyer G. Prognostic value of right ventricular dysfunction or elevated cardiac biomarkers in patients with low-risk pulmonary embolism: a systematic review and metanalysis. Eur Heart J. 2019;40(11):902-910. [CrossRef]
- Bossone E, D'Andrea A, D'Alto M, et al. Echocardiography in pulmonary arterial hypertension: from diagnosis to prognosis. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2013;26(1):1-14. [CrossRef]
- Dabbouseh NM, Patel JJ, Bergl PA. Role of echocardiography in managing acute pulmonary embolism. Heart. 2019;105(23):1785-1792. [CrossRef]
- Pruszczyk P, Kurnicka K, Ciurzyński M, et al. Defining right ventricular dysfunction by echocardiography in normotensive patients with pulmonary embolism. *Pol Arch Intern Med*. 2020;130(9):741-747. [CrossRef]
- 8. Bikdeli B, Lobo JL, Jiménez D, et al. Early use of echocardiography in patients with acute pulmonary embolism: findings from the RIETE registry. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2018;7(17):e009042. [CrossRef]
- Becattini C, Agnelli G, Vedovati MC, et al. Multidetector computed tomography for acute pulmonary embolism: diagnosis andriskstratifi ationinasingletest. Eur Heart J. 2011;32(13):1657-1663. [CrossRef]
- Meinel FG, Nance JW Jr, Schoepf UJ, et al. Predictive value of computed tomography in acute pulmonary embolism: systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Med. 2015;128(7):747-59.e2.
 [CrossRef]
- Schoepf UJ, Kucher N, Kipfmueller F, Quiroz R, Costello P, Goldhaber SZ. Right ventricular enlargement on chest computed tomography: a predictor of early death in acute pulmonary embolism. Circulation. 2004;110(20):3276-3280. [CrossRef]
- Rudski LG, Lai WW, Afilalo J, et al. Guidelines for the echocardiographic assessment of the right heart in adults: a report from the American Society of Echocardiography endorsed by the European Association of Echocardiography, a registered branch of the European Society of Cardiology, and the Canadian Society of Echocardiography. JAm Soc Echocardiogr. 2010;23(7):685-713. [CrossRef]
- Weekes AJ, Oh L, Thacker G, et al. Interobserver and intraobserver agreement on qualitative assessments of right

- ventricular dysfunction with echocardiography in patients with pulmonary embolism. *J Ultrasound Med*. 2016;35(10):2113-2120. [CrossRef]
- Tritschler T, Kraaijpoel N, Girard P, et al. Definition of pulmonary embolism-related death and classifi ation of the cause of death in venous thromboembolism studies: communication from the SSC of the ISTH. J Thromb Haemost. 2020;18(6):1495-1500. [CrossRef]
- Dudzinski DM, Hariharan P, Parry BA, Chang Y, Kabrhel C. Assessment of right ventricular strain by computed tomography versus echocardiography in acute pulmonary embolism. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2017;24(3):337-343. [CrossRef]
- Park JR, Chang SA, Jang SY, et al. Evaluation of right ventricular dysfunction and prediction of clinical outcomes in acute pulmonary embolism by chest computed tomography: comparisons with echocardiography. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2012;28(4):979-987. [CrossRef]
- Wake N, Kumamaru KK, George E, et al. Computed tomography and echocardiography in patients with acute pulmonary embolism: part 1: correlation of findings of right ventricular enlargement. J Thorac Imaging. 2014;29(1):W1-W6. [CrossRef]
- Ammari Z, Hasnie AA, Ruzieh M, et al. Prognostic value of computed tomography versus echocardiography derived right to left ventricular diameter ratio in acute pulmonary embolism.
 Am J Med Sci. 2021;361(4):445-450. [CrossRef]
- George E, Kumamaru KK, Ghosh N, et al. Computed tomography and echocardiography in patients with acute pulmonary embolism: part 2: prognostic value. *J Thorac Imaging*. 2014;29(1):W7-W12. [CrossRef]
- Andrade I, Mehdipoor G, Le Mao R, et al. Prognostic signifi ance of computed tomography-assessed right ventricular enlargement in low-risk patients with pulmonary embolism: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Thromb Res.* 2021;197:48-55.
 [CrossRef]

- Barco S, Mahmoudpour SH, Valerio L, et al. Trends in mortality related to pulmonary embolism in the European Region, 2000– 15: analysis of vital registration data from the WHO Mortality Database. Lancet Respir Med. 2020;8(3):277–287. [CrossRef]
- 22. Hadad Y, Iluz M, Ziv-Baran T, et al. High prevalence of right ventricular/left ventricular ratio ≥1 among patients undergoing computed tomography pulmonary angiography. *J Thorac Imaging*. 2021;36(4):231-235. [CrossRef]
- Aviram G, Cohen D, Steinvil A, et al. Signifi ance of reflux of contrast medium into the inferior vena cava on computerized tomographic pulmonary angiogram. *Am J Cardiol*. 2012;109(3): 432-437. [CrossRef]
- 24. Yeh BM, Kurzman P, Foster E, Qayyum A, Joe B, Coakley F. Clinical relevance of retrograde inferior vena cava or hepatic vein opacifi ation during contrast-enhanced CT. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2004;183(5):1227-1232. [CrossRef]
- Bach AG, Nansalmaa B, Kranz J, et al. CT pulmonary angiography findings that predict 30-day mortality in patients with acute pulmonary embolism. Eur J Radiol. 2015;84(2):332-337. [CrossRef]
- Aviram G, Rogowski O, Gotler Y, et al. Real-time risk stratifi ation of patients with acute pulmonary embolism by grading the reflux of contrast into the inferior vena cava on computerized tomographic pulmonary angiography. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2008;6(9):1488-1493. [CrossRef]
- Bailis N, Lerche M, Meyer HJ, Wienke A, Surov A. Contrast reflux into the inferior vena cava on computer tomographic pulmonary angiography is a predictor of 24-hour and 30-day mortality in patients with acute pulmonary embolism. *Acta Radiol*. 2021;62(1):34-41. [CrossRef]
- Humbert M, Kovacs G, Hoeper MM, et al. ESC/ERS Scientific Document Group. ESC/ERS Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary hypertension. Eur Heart J. 2022;43(38): 3618-3731. [CrossRef]. Erratum in: Eur Heart J. 2023;44(15):1312. (https://doi.org/10.1093/eurhearti/ehad005)

THE ANATOLIAN JOURNAL OF CARDIOLOGY



ERRATUM

DOI: 10.14744/AnatolJCardiol.2024.3562

The article by Erol et al., published in the May issue [Anatol J Cardiol. 2024 May 27;28(8):393–8], titled "Agreement Between Transthoracic Echocardiography and Computed Tomography Pulmonary Angiography for Detection of Right Ventricular Dysfunction in Pulmonary Embolism," contains an error that was brought to our attention through a Letter to the Editor.

Specifically, certain values listed in Table 5 under the "Positive Predictive Value" section were found to be incorrect. The authors have since provided the correct values, which have been reviewed and approved by the Editorial Board. We sincerely applicate to our readers for this error and any confusion it may have caused.

The updated table is provided below. The article can be can be accessed via the following link: https://anatoljcardiol.com/storage/upload/pdfs/1722327195-en.pdf

Table 5. Performance of CTPA and TTE on prediction of adverse outcomes					
	Sensitivity	Specificity	Positive Predictive Value	Negative Predictive Value	
RV dysfunction on TTE	100%	43%	55%	100%	
RV dysfunction on CTPA	100%	28%	41%	100%	
CTPA, computed	3 1 / 1	, ,	iography;		